The world is transforming at an unprecedented rate...

It took Britain 155 years to double GDP per capita, with about 9 million people... The US and Germany took between 30 and 60 years with a few tens of million people... but India and China are doing this at a scale and pace not seen before: 100 times the people than Britain and a tenth the time.

By 2030 Asia will be on its way to returning to being the world’s powerhouse, just as it was before 1500.

...But it is not totally back to the future.

The world has been transformed in other ways. By 2030, majorities in most countries will be middle-class, not poor, which was the condition of most people throughout human history.

Global population in urban areas

Every year, 65 million people are added to the world’s urban population, equivalent to adding seven cities the size of Chicago or five the size of London annually.

Most countries will be increasingly urban

Regional shares of worldwide urban population growth in five world regions: Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA); North and South America (NSA); Middle East and North Africa (MNA); Asia-Pacific (APC); and Europe (EUR). The graph below compares shares during the past two decades (1990 to 2010) to those projected during the next two decades (2010 to 2030).
**Starters**

*“Megatrends” (Relative Certainties)*

**Individual Empowerment**

Majority of world’s population won’t be impoverished. Middle classes will expand in most countries. As individuals move into the middle class, values will shift and demand for sociopolitical change—and consumer goods—will rise. But middle classes won’t feel secure: one billion workers from developing countries will be added to global labor pool, putting additional pressure on low-skilled labor.

**Demography**

Rapid extensions of life expectancy likely: global deaths from communicable diseases projected to drop by more than 40 percent. Some countries, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, will still have youthful populations, but demographic arc of instability will narrow on both east and west flanks. Aging countries face the possibility of decline in economic growth. Increased migration will spread to emerging powers. Urbanization set to grow to almost 60 percent.

**Diffusion of Power**

Asia is set to surpass North America and Europe in global power, but there will not be any hegemonic power. The power of other non-Western or middle-tier states will rise. This middle tier as a group will surpass Europe, Japan, and Russia. China’s economy will be 140-percent larger than Japan; India’s will be 16 times larger than Pakistan’s. Many currently fragile states could move into solid ground: Iraq, Ethiopia, Sierra Leone, Liberia and Nigeria, but others—Afghanistan, Somalia, DRC—will remain vulnerable. Technology will be great leveller, shifting the balance of power towards multifaceted networks.

**Growing Nexus Among Energy, Water, and Food**

Demand for resources will increase owing to an increase in global population from 7.1 billion today to about 8 billion by 2050. Demand for food set to rise 50 percent; energy 45 percent over the next 15-20 years. Nearly half of world population will live in areas with severe water stress. Fragile states most at risk, but China and India are vulnerable to volatility of key resources. Main questions will be whether there will be more effective management, wider technology use, and greater governance mechanisms.

**Main Courses**

*Critical Game-Changers*

1. Will divergences and increased volatility result in more global breakdown? Or will the development of multiple growth centers lead to increased resiliency?

Both developing and developed countries face stiff challenges to achieve a new “normalcy” in the global economy. For much of the West, the challenges involve sustaining growth in the face of rapidly aging populations. For China and India, the main challenge will be to avoid “middle income traps.”

2. Will current forms of governance and international institutions be able to adapt fast enough to harness and channel change instead of being overwhelmed by it?

Potential serious governance deficits driven by rapid political and social changes are likely to exist. Countries moving from autocracy to democracy have a proven record of high instability. About 30 countries fall into this major risk group; all of them could grow out of their governance incongruities by 2030 if economic advances continue.

Political landscape will be a lot more complicated: Megacities and regional groupings likely to assume increasing powers. Greater regional integration, especially in Asia. The characteristics of ICT use—multiple and simultaneous actions, near-instantaneous responses, and mass organization across geographical boundaries—increase the potential for more frequent discontinuous change in the international system. On the other hand, ICT will give governments—both authoritarian and democratic—an unprecedented ability to monitor their citizens.

3. Will rapid changes and shifts in power lead to conflicts?

Limited natural resources—such as water and arable land—in many of the same countries that will have disproportionate levels of young men—particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and parts of the Middle East—increase the risk of interstate conflict. Most intrastate conflicts will remain in form of irregular warfare, but spread of precision weaponry may change the character of some of these conflicts.

4. Will technological breakthroughs occur in time to solve the problems caused by rapid urbanization, strain on natural resources, and climate change?

We’ve identified 16 “disruptive” technologies with potential global significance out to 2030. They are grouped around potential energy breakthroughs; food- and water-related innovations; big data and forecasting human behaviors; and enhancement of human mental and physical capabilities and anti-aging. Many will need concerted government efforts to be realized by 2030. An international security environment favoring cooperation is also a “must.”

5. Will the US, as the leading actor on the world stage, be able to reinvent the international system, carving out potential new roles in an expanded world order?

The US most likely will remain *prima inter pares* among the other great powers in 2030 because of the multifaceted nature of its power and legacies of its leadership, but the “unipolar moment” is over. Limited potential for China to replace US as international leader by 2030. A reinvigorated US economy would increase the prospects that the growing global and regional challenges would be addressed. If the US fails to rebound, a dangerous global power vacuum would be created.

A more fragmented international system, spillover from regional conflicts, and resource competition increases potential for interstate conflict. The Middle East most likely will remain the most volatile region, even as it moves toward greater democratization. Any future wars in Asia and the Middle East probably would include a nuclear element. Many of these conflicts, once begun, would not be easily containable and would have global impacts.

**Dessert**

*Scenarios*

**Fragmentation**

A multiperiod global economy is the backdrop for a world in which there is an absence of widespread political will to solve large-scale global problems. Traditional West lags while Asia does best, but South America and Africa also continue to grow. The risk of conflict—including between great powers—is increases, but is not inevitable. Technological development is fast-paced where national and regional economies are strong, such as Asia. Cooperation on global challenges such as climate, environment, trade and development slows.

**Fusion**

After a period of growing geopolitical competition and increased likelihood of conflict, the US and China draw back and begin to work together to ignite a technological revolution in order to rebuild their respective economies. This leads to a “golden age” of technological activity in the 2020s. Countries that lie at the nexus of east and west (Turkey, Russia, Israel) become creative hotbeds for cross-cultural fertilization. A global consensus for action on energy emerges. Increasing flows of workers contribute to building a more cooperative world.

**Reverse Engines**

Constrained by its mounting fiscal problems, the US draws inward. Growing tensions between China and India, India and Pakistan; and Sunni and Shia in the Middle East trigger a backlash to practices, policies, and regulations reminiscent of the Cold War era, causing national, ethnic, and tribal identities around to the world to intensify. Military technology investment drives a greater portion of development and government technology controls rebound. There is a paucity of civil innovation. Member-state divisions tie the hands of the multilateral institutions. Food prices soar and water disputes grow with increasing number of countries tipsing over into state failure.